

Agenda item:	
Scrutiny Management Panel	
29 <sup>th</sup> August 2014	
Decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 24 <sup>th</sup> July 2014 in respect of item 3 on tha agenda "Central Southsea Residents Parking Schemes: MI Zone and MC Zone - <b>Call In</b>	
City Solicitor	
No	
No	
	Scrutiny Management Panel 29 <sup>th</sup> August 2014 Decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 24 <sup>th</sup> July 2014 in respect of item 3 on tha agenda "Central Southsea Residents Parking Schemes: M Zone and MC Zone - <b>Call In</b> City Solicitor

#### 1. Purpose of report

To request the Panel to review the decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 24<sup>th</sup> July 2014 in respect of item 3 on that agenda "Central Southsea Residents Parking Schemes: MB Zone and MC Zone. The report which was considered by the Cabinet Member on the 24<sup>th</sup> July 2014 together with the minute of the Cabinet's decision is attached as Appendix One to this report.

# 1.1. Call-in and alternative decision making

- 1.1.1. These decisions were called in in accordance with part 3 of the Constitution of the Council. The summary of reasons for call in are:-
  - 1. Inaccurate or incorrect information and
  - 2. Inadequate information

See Appendix Two attached for further details of the reasons for call-in.

### 1.2. Background

- 1.2.1. Please see the attached report and minute which provide the background to the decision which was made on 24<sup>th</sup> July 2014 (Appendix 1).
- 1.2.2. As the decision is not contrary to budget or policy, steps have been taken to implement the decision.



# 2. Recommendations

2.1. The Panel considers the evidence and decides whether or not the decision made by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 24<sup>th</sup> July 2014 should be upheld or be referred back to the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation with their reasons why it should be reconsidered.

### 3. Equality Impact Assessment

3.1. An equality impact assessment is not required as the recommendations do not have a negative impact on any of the protected characteristics as described in the Equality Act 2010.

# 4. City Solicitor's Comments

4.1. The City Solicitor's comments are embodied within this report.

#### 5. Head of Finance's comments

5.1. There are no financial implications arising directly from the recommendation contained in this report. Financial implications arising from recommendations contained in any future report will be included at that time.

Signed by:

City Solicitor

Appendices: Appendices one and two attached

Background list of documents: Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972

The following documents disclose facts or matters, which have been relied upon to a material extent by the author in preparing this report:

Title of document	Location
Nil	



The recommendation(s) set out above were approved/ approved as amended/ deferred/ rejected by the Chair of the Scrutiny Management Panel

.....

Signed by: Chair of the Scrutiny Management Panel